

OSS Evaluation Project Report – Test Results

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-E	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Billing Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Satisfied	<p>Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Billing Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample field values in processed data are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>One measure set has been evaluated and three measure sets are still being evaluated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM 14 (CLEC Aggregate) 2. ACIS portion of PM 17 (CLEC Aggregate) 3. AEBS portion of PM 18 (CLEC Aggregate) 4. PM 19 (Test CLEC) <p>A fifth measure set was to be evaluated using BearingPoint Test CLEC <i>transaction records related to PM 17</i>. It was later determined that there was no record-level unique identifiers to map the unprocessed data to SBC Ameritech's processed data.</p> <p>A sixth measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 19. It was later determined that the data for this measure set could not be evaluated using the technique devised for this evaluation criterion.</p> <p>A seventh measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 16. It was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses <i>unprocessed data to calculate the numerator</i> of PM 16. Therefore, data integrity analysis for the numerator of PM 16 was not performed. Additionally, processed data used to calculate the denominator of PM 16 is the same data used to calculate the denominator of PM 19, therefore the data for denominator of PM 16 could not be evaluated using the technique devised for this evaluation criterion.</p> <p>An eighth measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
			<p>aggregate records related to PM 15, the CABS portion of PM 18, and PM 20. It was later determined that there was no transaction-level detail available for analysis. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>A ninth measure set was to be evaluated using samples of CLEC aggregate records related to the CABS portion of PM 17. Data integrity analysis of the processed data was not performed because it was determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate the CABS portion of this measure.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Exception 176, Version 2 on January 10, 2003, which states that SBC Ameritech's March, April, and May 2002 performance measurement data is missing daily usage file (DUF) records used in the calculation of PM 19. In SBC Ameritech's response to Exception 176, provided on November 22, 2002, SBC Ameritech agreed that Category 11 DUF records were not included in the data provided for the calculation of PM 19. BearingPoint retested this Exception using February 2003 data. BearingPoint initially issued a Disposition Report for Exception 176, Version 2 on June 10, 2003, as BearingPoint was able to match 97.6 percent of the Category 11 DUF records for all five states in SBC Ameritech's PM 19 processed data to the data provided by the volunteer CLEC. BearingPoint issued Observation 860 on June 10, 2003 to address the outstanding 2.4 percent of missing DUF records.</p> <p>In response to Observation 860, SBC Ameritech stated that there was a problem with the transfer of data initially provided to BearingPoint for Category 11 DUF record review. SBC Ameritech subsequently resent the data on June 23, 2003. BearingPoint used this resent data to evaluate Exception 176, Version 2.</p> <p>As a result of this reevaluation, BearingPoint issued the Disposition Report for Exception 176, Version 2 on June 24, 2003 and proposed to close the Exception 176, Version 2 and Observation 860 on the June 24, 2003 Observation and Exception call. BearingPoint indicated that it was able to match 100 percent of the Category 11 DUF records for all five states in SBC</p>

OSS Evaluation Project Report – Test Results

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
			<p>Ameritech's February 2003 Performance Measurement 19 processed data to the data provided by the volunteer CLEC.</p> <p>As of June 10, 2003, two data requests have not been fulfilled. This impacts the following measure:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM 14 2. ACIS portion of PM 17 <p>See Table 4-23 for additional details.</p>

Table 4-7: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Miscellaneous Administrative

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-F	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for PM 24.1 and PM 25. In addition, transaction-level detail is not available for PM 21.1 and PM 22. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-F	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for PM 24.1 and PM 25. In addition, transaction-level detail is not available for PM 21.1 and PM 22. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>
PMR4-3-F	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>Transaction-level detail is not available for analysis due to SBC Ameritech's method of processing the CLEC aggregate data used to calculate the measures in this measure group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-22.</p>

OSS Evaluation Project Report – Test Results

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-F	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Administrative Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Miscellaneous Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for PM 24.1 and PM 25. In addition, transaction-level detail is not available for this measure group due to SBC Ameritech's method of processing the CLEC aggregate data used to calculate the measures in this measure group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-23.</p>

Table 4-8: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Interconnection Trunks

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-G	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-G	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>
PMR4-3-G	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Indeterminate	<p>BearingPoint is still analyzing whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Interconnection Trunks Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample records in processed CLEC aggregate data are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>A measure set consisting of records/values for PM 73, PM 74, PM 75, PM 76, and PM 78 is still being evaluated.</p> <p>A second measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC</p>



Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
			<p>aggregate records related to PM 77, but it was later determined that no processed data were available for the data months January 2002 through September 2002. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>A third measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 70, PM 70.1, PM 70.2, and PM 71. It was later determined that there was no transaction-level detail available for analysis. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Observation 842 on April 24, 2003, stating that SBC Ameritech appears to be capturing duplicate records of individual transactions in "Install_Hicap_Substrate_Detail" and "Pots_Install_tables" for the July 2002 data month in Regulatory Reporting System (RRS) and may be "double counting" these records in five interconnection trunks performance measurements (PM 73, PM 74, PM 75, PM 76, and PM 78). SBC Ameritech issued a response on June 2, 2003. BearingPoint issued additional questions on June 20, 2003.</p> <p>See Table 4-22 for additional details.</p>
PMR4-4-G	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the interconnection Trunks Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Indeterminate	<p>BearingPoint is still analyzing whether data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the interconnection Trunk Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample field values in processed data are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the interconnection Trunks Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform an analysis using BearingPoint Test CLEC data for this measure group.</p> <p>A measure set consisting of CLEC aggregate records/values for PM 73, PM</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
			<p>74, PM 75, PM 76, and PM 78 is still being evaluated.</p> <p>A second measure set was to be evaluated using CLEC aggregate records related to PM 77. It was later determined that no processed data were available for the data months January 2002 through September 2002. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>A third measure set was to be evaluated using CLEC aggregate records related to PM 70, PM 70.1, PM 70.2, and PM 71. It was later determined that there was no transaction-level detail available for analysis for January 2002. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Observation 842 on April 24, 2003, stating that SBC Ameritech appears to be capturing duplicate records of individual transactions in "Install_Hicap_Subrate_Detail" and "Pots_Install tables" for the July 2002 data month in Regulatory Reporting System (RRS) and may be "double counting" these records in five interconnection trunks performance measurements (PM 73, PM 74, PM 75, PM 76, and PM 78). SBC Ameritech issued a response on June 2, 2003. BearingPoint issued additional questions on June 20, 2003.</p> <p>See Table 4-23 for additional details.</p>

Table 4-9: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Directory Assistance/Operator Services

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-H	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-H	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>
PMR4-3-H	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>Transaction-level detail is not available for analysis due to SBC Ameritech's method of processing the CLEC aggregate data used to calculate the measures in this measure group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-22.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-H	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether the data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Directory Assistance/Operator Services Measure Group. In addition, transaction-level detail is not available for analysis due to SBC Ameritech's method of processing the CLEC aggregate data used to calculate the measures in this measure group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-23.</p>

Table 4-10: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Local Number Portability

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-I	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group.	Indeterminate	<p>BearingPoint is still analyzing whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of required source records are included for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>Three measure sets are still being evaluated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM 96 2. PM 97 3. PM 98 <p>BearingPoint issued a second version of a request for analysis (MT754_MT759_DR_PMR4_Missing Test CLEC Records_PM96_PM97_RRS_v2) on June 26, 2003 regarding missing transactions used in the calculation of PM 96 and PM 97.</p> <p>See Table 4-20 for additional details.</p>
PMR4-2-I	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Local Number Portability Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-3-I	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Indeterminate	<p>BearingPoint is still analyzing whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample records in processed CLEC aggregate data are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>Four measure sets are still being evaluated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM 93 2. PM 95 3. PM 92, PM 96, PM 97, PM 98, PM 99, PM 100, PM 101 4. PM 91 <p>BearingPoint issued Observation 842 on April 24, 2003, stating that SBC Ameritech appears to be capturing duplicate records of individual transactions in "Install_Hicap_Subrate_Detail" and "Pots_Install tables" for the July 2002 data month in Regulatory Reporting System (RRS) and may be "double counting" these records in seven local number portability performance measurements (PM 92, PM 96, PM 97, PM 98, PM 99, PM 100, and PM 101). SBC Ameritech issued a response on June 2, 2003. BearingPoint issued additional questions on June 20, 2003.</p> <p>As of June 10, 2003, one data request has not been fulfilled. This impacts PM 91.</p> <p>See Table 4-22 for additional details.</p>
PMR4-4-I	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from	Indeterminate	<p>BearingPoint is still analyzing whether data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Local Number Portability Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample field values in processed data are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
	source systems.		<p>systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>Seven measure sets are still being evaluated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM 91 (CLEC Aggregate) 2. PM 92, PM 96, PM 97, PM 98, PM 99, PM 100, PM 101 (CLEC Aggregate) 3. PM 93 (CLEC Aggregate) 4. PM 95 (CLEC Aggregate) 5. PM 96 (Test CLEC) 6. PM 97 (Test CLEC) 7. PM 98 (Test CLEC) <p>BearingPoint issued Observation 842 on April 24, 2003, stating that SBC Ameritech appears to be capturing duplicate records of individual transactions in "Install_Hicap_Subrate_Detail" and "Pots_Install tables" for the July 2002 data month in Regulatory Reporting System (RRS) and may be "double counting" these records in seven local number portability performance measurements (PM 92, PM 96, PM 97, PM 98, PM 99, PM 100, and PM 101). SBC Ameritech issued a response on June 2, 2003. BearingPoint issued additional questions on June 20, 2003.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued a second version of a request for analysis (MT754_MT759_DR_PMR4_Missing Test CLEC Records_PM96_PM97_RRS_v2) on June 26, 2003 regarding missing transactions used in the calculation of PM 96 and PM 97.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Analysis Report 10 on June 11, 2003, which states that BearingPoint is unable to match unprocessed data stored in SBC Ameritech's source systems to the corresponding processed data used in the calculation of PM 95. BearingPoint received SBC Ameritech's response to Analysis Report 10 on June 25, 2003. BearingPoint is reviewing the response.</p> <p>As of June 10, 2003, one data request has not been fulfilled. This impacts PM 91.</p>

OSS Evaluation Project Report – Test Results



Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
			See Table 4-23 for additional details.

Table 4-11: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – 911

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-J	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the 911 Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-J	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the 911 Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-3-J	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Satisfied (In Retest)	<p>Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group are not consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample records in processed CLEC aggregate data are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>One measure set has been evaluated and one measure set is being retested:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM MI 6 2. PM 104.1 <p>A third measure set was to be evaluated using CLEC aggregate records related to PM MI 7 and PM MI 8.1. It was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate measures in this measure set for the CLEC aggregate. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Exception 181 on December 17, 2002, which states that SBC Ameritech did not completely transfer unprocessed records to processed records for PM 104.1. BearingPoint is retesting this measure using February 2003 data. On June 16, 2003 and June 19, 2003, BearingPoint received SBC Ameritech's responses to the data request for PM 104.1. BearingPoint is analyzing SBC Ameritech's responses.</p> <p>See Table 4-22 for additional details.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-J	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Satisfied (In Retest)	<p>Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the 911 Measure Group are not consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of sample field values in processed data are consistent with those in unprocessed data in source systems for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the 911 Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group using BearingPoint Test CLEC records.</p> <p>One measure set has been evaluated and one measure set is being retested:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PM MI 6 (CLEC Aggregate) 2. PM 104.1 (CLEC Aggregate) <p>A third measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM MI 7 and PM MI 8, but it was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate measures in this measure set for the CLEC aggregate. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Exception 181 on December 17, 2002, which states that SBC Ameritech did not completely transfer unprocessed records to processed records for PM 104.1. BearingPoint is retesting this measure using February 2003 data. On June 16, 2003 and June 19, 2003, BearingPoint received SBC Ameritech's responses to the data request for PM 104.1. BearingPoint is analyzing SBC Ameritech's responses.</p> <p>See Table 4-23 for additional details.</p>

Table 4-12: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-K	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-K	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>
PMR4-3-K	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group for the CLEC aggregate. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-22.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-K	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether the data fields in processed data used to calculate measure in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group, thereby precluding an analysis using BearingPoint Test CLEC data for this measure group. In addition, SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate measures in the Poles, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way Measure Group for the CLEC aggregate. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-23.</p>

Table 4-13: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Collocation

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-L	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Collocation Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-L	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Collocation Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>
PMR4-3-L	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>One measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 107, PM 108, PM 109, and PM MI 4. It was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate measures in this measure set for the CLEC aggregate. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-22.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-L	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Collocation Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Collocation Measure Group, thereby precluding an analysis using BearingPoint Test CLEC data for this measure group.</p> <p>One measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 107, PM 108, PM 109, and PM MI 4. It was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate measures in this measure set for the CLEC aggregate. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-23.</p>

Table 4-14: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Directory Assistance Database

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-M	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-20.</p>
PMR4-2-M	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether inappropriate records are present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-3-M	Records in processed data used to calculate measures in Directory Assistance Database Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether records in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>A measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 110 (electronic portion), PM 111 (electronic portion), and PM 113. It was later determined that there are no record-level unique identifiers to map processed data and unprocessed data due to SBC Ameritech's method of processing the unprocessed data. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>A second measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 110 (manual portion), PM 111 (manual portion), and PM 112, but it was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate this measure set. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>See Table 4-22.</p>

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-4-M	Data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether data fields in processed data used to calculate measures in the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group are consistent with those in unprocessed data from source systems.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Directory Assistance Database Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did perform this type of data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>A measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 110 (electronic portion), PM 111 (electronic portion), and PM 113. It was later determined that there are no record-level unique identifiers to map processed data and unprocessed data due to SBC Ameritech's method of processing the unprocessed data. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>A second measure set was to be evaluated using a sample of CLEC aggregate records related to PM 110 (manual portion), PM 111 (manual portion), and PM 112. It was later determined that SBC Ameritech uses unprocessed data to calculate this measure set. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure set.</p> <p>See Table 4-23.</p>

Table 4-15: PMR4 Evaluation Criteria and Results – Coordinated Conversions

Test Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Result	Comments
PMR4-1-N	Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Coordinated Conversions Measure Group.	Satisfied	<p>Required source records are included in data used to calculate measures in the Coordinated Conversions Measure Group.</p> <p>BearingPoint is using the benchmark that 95 percent of required source records are included for each measure set evaluated in the measure group.</p> <p>One measure set has been evaluated:</p> <p>1. PM 114, PM 114.1, PM 115</p> <p>BearingPoint issued Observation 855 on May 29, 2003, which states that SBC Ameritech did not completely transfer unprocessed records to processed records for PM 114, 114.1, and 115. Observation 855 was closed on June 24, 2003 since there appeared to be no systematic errors causing the discrepancies and the differences are less than 5%.</p> <p>See Table 4-20 for additional details.</p>
PMR4-2-N	Inappropriate records are not present in processed data used to calculate measures in the Coordinated Conversions Measure Group.	Not Applicable	<p>BearingPoint cannot determine whether processed data used to calculate measures in the Coordinated Conversions Measure Group contain only appropriate records.</p> <p>BearingPoint does not have its own records for the Coordinated Conversions Measure Group. Consequently, BearingPoint did not perform data integrity analysis for this measure group.</p> <p>See Table 4-21.</p>